On the one question on which he has gone to the President he has been backed up (id not disclose question). Nevertheless, feeling here that a stough U.S. sixed is not backed and will be overruled. Problem is one of alliance. Fourteen allies bicker among selves until the question is long since part. De Caulle project the Directory for the wrong reasons, but he was essentially right in the persident among method of making and taking emphatic decisions perpently.

Berle, bosever, ien't the real problem. Khrushchev doesn't went a war over berlie, or a war, period. No incident in Berlin — short of an uprising by the Rasi Granus — is going to be allowed to grow into a war. The real Communiaties is west German — to detach it from MATO, neutralize it and if possible about 11 to match the control of the possible about 11 to match the control of the possible about 12 to match the control of the possible about 12 to match the control of the possible about 12 to match the control of the possible about 12 to match the control of the possible about 12 to match the control of the contr

Recent incidents on the friederichstrasse have convinced Clay that we could have accept to prevent the wall without a clash. There was no necessity to lear it down that your troops in to prevent it from being built in the first place. Why didn't we? There was complete confusion after the Paris foreign hinterest meeting. Agroement on the three main points: troops, access, Berlin freedom, seemed to rule out others. Acceptance of the wall did violate our rights and it was the first. It gave Khrushchev very much of what he wanted have and

Clay has the impression that the British always want to negotiate. Yet in the field than it is no question but that the British would fight. Here the French are perhaps the least reliable. The British always move troops at the proper tide and act to defend principle even when they don't agree.

Question of passes has not been important except as symbol. Soviet Union soon acknowledged its own responsibility by moving its tanks in; it would not trust East Garcans. Clay views this exchange as a U.S. success.

Except of proble and capital from Berlin has been pretty well reversed.

Capital is conting back in. (Albert Hersing, USIS Information Officer, many that
most of jextodus was people taking precutions by setting up dual residences, or

Other people of pensions making the move. Little change of industry.

Trouble with the little by little approach is that is medie inevitably that over the long experience period people will lose confidence and Borlin will die. Bat can be done? Cley would insist that West Berlin be part of Best Germany, covered by Federal Republic economically and politically, and all detanded by MATO AU.N. acolution? Yes, Clay would welcome U.N. agencies to broaden reason for existence, though he coes not think U.N. headquarters here would be feasible. He would not want to see U.S. responsibility abroagated for less precise, amorphout U.N. rangement.

Chry thin very highly of Willy Brandt, SPD "right wing" -- Erler, etc. More highly, in fact, than of FDP or some CDU people. Is not worried about former Mazis as such, but thinks that a me of right wing extremists could be troublesome.

Le ficto recognition of "ast Germany does not trouble Clay. There already its a great deal of it in the inter-zonal agreements that cover civil traffic to and from Berlin. These work smoothly. It is a question of semantics, but he thinks lost Germans could accept. That about the Oder Neisse line? Khushchev doesn't worry about it, but it is a matter of considerable concern to the Poles. Hence it is a liability. Clay would solve it by having Western Powers undertake to guarantee that the Oder-Neisse line would never become a cause for war. This would give away nothing and nevertheless would get the point across.

Clay had some trouble with the press and was surprised by mishandling of his first backgrounder. Nevertheless, the effect was good. Some Germans have told him it was nacessary for them to be reminded of reality. Also, there was a tendency to worship Clay as a here when he first came. This quickly desolished that little personnlity cult.

Rilay spokes at the and of his own personal philosphy, sounding (sid locking, fittings); taker like Mechanically by giving way in varied places and by little bits we do not prevent war. We have seemed to yield to nuclear blackmail. Now we have respond to believe Soviets have fewer missiles than we had thought it have have seemed to receive the U.S. dathough they could healy oripple us.) U.S. has enough to demolish them. Great faar in Western Europe is e that U.S. would not use; and talk of conventional cross merely increases secret European apprehensions. We have approximately two means in which to make clear that U.S. would not use; and talk of conventional cross merely increases secret European apprehensions. We have approximately two means in which to make clear that U.S. would use its nuclear strength for a first strike in certain situations. After that it will be forevent too late; because Soviet Union will have capacity to flatten us. Hence we must make clear that we will not flinch in a contest of wills. This will not inevitably prevent nuclear war, but it in the best chance. What Clay really seems to be suggesting is that we engage in a little nuclear him blackmail of our own. He has much confidence in the shility and toughness of "our young Fresident"; but unless we make it unnistabable that we are determined to defend the West in every particular and win the minimum contest of wills, we will continue to lose.

Interview with E. Allan Lightner, Jr., U.S. Political Representative (Minister):

Very gloomy over U.S. position. Feeling not backed up from Washington.
Every time there is a question of a small military or political move, it has to
go through so many beople that it is always diluted. Where? Hard to say: Morstad
in Paris, pressure by Allies, or Pentagon. Politically, Ambassadorial Group in
Washington is where the bottleneck seems to lie.

Incident in which Lightner was involved (he was first U.S. civilian official challenged when he took his wife to operat in East Berlin) was altogether unplanned. Germans couldn't believe it, and now mission is of two minds whether to say anything. Of course subsequent excursions were planned. Lightner was backed in his own case, but as succeeding spisodes developed Washington seemed

to grow increasingly more apprehensive. Lightner, as contrasted with Clay, does not, hink we succeeded in proving anything. We sent a few vehicles into East Berlin, but after all they didn't go anywhere; they just turned around and came cack. Washington was plainly unhappy over the confrontation of tanks, even though there was no danger in Lightner's view that the Russiano would have started shooting.

(Anides. Hensing says Vopo defectors here have confirmed the story that Bast German forces did not have live ammunition when the wall was first of instituted as a barbed wire fence)

Since U.S. has insisted on license plates from East Berlin having a clear of Bovict marking like ours rather than merely "CD", number of frips has been out a markedly. Freviously, many SED people were coming through hominally to talk to talk to the best people with the community tactics.

is SED people in west Berlin, actually to carry our Communist factics.

Lightner thinks U.S. in bad position and getting worse, through appearance of unaurances and indecision. He doubts whether Kennedy-Khrushchev heeting produced good effect on Khrushchev, the pressure has increased since their Prom what he has read in cables of conversations, there was little rebuttal of Khrushchev (same is true. Hemeing saws, of Kennedy-Gromyko talks). (These may be unfair in that they reflect only one side).

Question is not one of nuclear war, but of holding course a bit longer, mether than announcing in advance that we will turn aside.

U.S. has been planting seeds among Communist embassies that anyone else but. Ulbricht in East Germany might be easter to deal with. Khrushchey doesn't like EEE Ulbricht (the wall gave U. what he wanted), and there is a chance of andermining him. This may have been reflected in a question Mr. Mochman asked ma in Prague which I neglected to put down above: would the U.S. be willing to deal with any other leader in East Germany?

Despite tough talk, neither Clay nor lightner is a saber rattler; and Clay, in particular, does not seem to be victim of localitis. Lightner shows a few of the trustrations of being out on the end of a long limb. He deale directly with Washington although he is technically under Red Dowling in Bonn and sends him copies of everything.